| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
|--|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| | 10/560,914 | KLUGER ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | DANIEL P. STEPHENSON | 3676 |
| All Participants: Status of Application: <u>allowed</u> | | |
| (1) <u>DANIEL P. STEPHENSON</u> . | (3) | |
| (2) <u>Michael McGovern</u> . | (4) | |
| Date of Interview: <u>13 April 2009</u> | Time: <u>11:00</u> | |
| Type of Interview: | | |
| Part I. | | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | |
| Claims discussed: | | |
| Prior art documents discussed: | | |
| Part II. | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet | | |
| Part III. | | |
| ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. | | |
| (A | pplicant/Applicant's Representati | ive Signature – if appropriate) |

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was related that the case was in condition for allowance except for one minor issue. In the specification, although it is inherent that the stand off layer is more rigid then the outer cover, it is never positively recited. The examiner proposed an examiner's amendment to correct this issue. The applicant agreed to examiner's amendment.